

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6-13, 15, 17-24, 26 and 28-33 are pending in the present application. Claims 4, 15 and 26 are being amended herewith to correct an issue regarding a dependent claim referencing a cancelled claim.

This amendment is being filed pursuant to 37 CFR 41.33(a) and MPEP 1206(I), as a Notice of Appeal has been filed for this case, an Appeal Brief has not yet been filed, and this amendment is being made to comply with requirements of form expressly set forth in a previous USPTO Office Action.

I. 35 U.S.C. § 112, Second Paragraph

Claims 4, 15 and 26 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter, which applicants regard as the invention. This rejection is respectfully traversed by correcting these claims to depend upon a proper pending claim. This amendment would not result in further searching or consideration. For example, Claim 4 originally depended upon Claim 3. In a previous amendment filed for this case (dated April 11, 2007), Claim 1 was amended to include the features of Claim 3, with Claim 3 thus being cancelled. However, Applicants inadvertently did not amend Claim 4 to now depend upon amended Claim 1 (which now includes the features of Claim 3). A similar situation exists for Claims 15 and 26. The present amendment corrects this inadvertent omission.

Therefore the rejection of Claims 4, 15 and 26 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph has been overcome.

DATE: November 6, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

/Wayne P. Bailey/

Wayne P. Bailey
Reg. No. 34,289
Yee & Associates, P.C.
P.O. Box 802333
Dallas, TX 75380
(972) 385-8777
Attorney for Applicants